



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,517	02/24/2004	Matthew G. Dayley	111027-136083	2728
25943 7	25943 7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
•	WILLIAMSON & WY	PATEL, RAJNIKANT B		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/786,517	DAYLEY, MATTHEW G.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rajnikant B. Patel	2838	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)☐ 3 3)☐ 3	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{24 Fe}$. This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E .	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application	on Papers			
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment	(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 8/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/786,517

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2838

3. Claims 1-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wada et al. (U.S. patent # 4,716,323).

Wada et al. disclose the claimed subject matters (figures 1-2,6 and 8-11), including a detector circuit (figure 1), an input terminal (figure 1, item VDD), a ground terminal (figure 1, item ground symbol), an output terminal (figure 1, item R), a first device (figure 1, item Q4), a second device (figure 1, item Q3), an output voltage below supply voltage (column 1, line 35-40), first and second device deigned with different threshold voltage (Abstract, line 5-10), a depletion transistor device (column 1, line 25-30), a depletion NMOS device(column 1, line 55-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Wada et al. (U.S. patent # 4,716,323) in combination with Degoirat et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,943,592).

Wada et al. discloses claimed invention as explained in the claims 1-12, above, except the utilization of the technique for a different configuration of detector circuit with specified threshold. Degoirat et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique for a

different configuration of detector circuit with specified threshold (column 1, line 10-35 and column 2, line 45-70+). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wada et al.'s detecting circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Degoirat et al. for the purpose of providing improved detection circuit for controlling power supply. Further Wada et al.'s circuit meets the structural limitation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Rainikant B Patel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2838